UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/763,358	01/23/2004	Wayne H. Kaesemeyer	126625.00901	7600	
Pepper Hamilto	7590 04/05/2007 on LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER SIMMONS, CHRIS E		
Firm 21269		SIMMONS			
One Mellon Center, 50th Floor 500 Grant Street Pittsburgh, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1609		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/05/2007	PAP	PAPER.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/763,358	KAESEMEYER, WAYNE H.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	•	Chris E. Simmons	1609		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING ENGINEERS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ENGINEERS (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. HED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•		•		
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 F</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-28 is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a control of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath of the oath of the oath of the oath of	er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) 🔲 Notica 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 11/06/2006 03/27/2006.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Art Unit: 1609

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement: Receipt of amended claims and Applicant's remarks on restriction requirement is acknowledged.

Election/Restrictions

Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372 to the following inventions:

- I. Claim 1-13, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a nitrate and a biological equivalent of L-arginine or L-citrulline, and
- II. Claim 14-21, drawn to a method of treating a subject in need thereof comprising administering a pharmaceutical composition comprising a nitrate and a biological equivalent of L-arginine or L-citrulline.

In addition, the Examiner required an election of species between a pharmaceutical composition comprising a nitrate and a biological equivalent of L-arginine or a pharmaceutical composition comprising a nitrate and L-citrulline. With traverse,

Applicant elected Group I (claims 1-13) and made a species election of a pharmaceutical composition comprising a nitrate and L-citrulline. Applicant, respectfully, argued in remarks received by the Office on 02/21/2007 that the search classification for each invention group will substantially overlap and graciously explained that the specification teaches that citrulline is a biological equivalent of L-arginine.

Examiner will respectfully maintain the restriction and make FINAL the restriction between Groups I and II. Groups I and II are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another

Art Unit: 1609

materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the treatment as claimed can be practiced with materially different products. The inventions between Groups I and II are distinct and will be a serious search burden; therefore, the restriction between Group I and II is proper. However, the Examiner will WITHDRAW the election of species requirement after careful consideration of Applicant's persuasive remarks that points out the specification's teaching that citrulline is a biological equivalent of L-arginine.

Priority

1. This application discloses and claims only subject matter disclosed in prior Application No. 09605599, filed 06/28/2000, and names an inventor or inventors named in the prior application. Accordingly, this application may constitute a continuation or division. Should applicant desire to obtain the benefit of the filing date of the prior application, attention is directed to 35 U.S.C. 120 and 37 CFR 1.78. Priority will not be granted to prior application in Applicant's priority change because they do not teach the claimed combination.

Specification

The Preliminary Amendment dated on 07/28/2004 needs to be corrected.

PCT/US01/20887, filed on 06/28/2001 is claiming priority to U.S. Serial No. 10/258,633 (which has a later filing date of 10/24/2002). Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohn (US Pat. 4,868,179).

The instant claims are directed to a composition of a biological equivalent of Larginine and a nitrate.

Cohn teaches in Column 2 Lines 17-20 the "compositions containing hydralazine (a biological equivalent of L-arginine) or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof, and isosorbide dinitrate (a nitrate)".

Application/Control Number: 10/763,358

Art Unit: 1609

Any pharmaceutical composition inherently has a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

Instant specification teaches that hydralazine and citrulline are biological equivalents of L-arginine. In Applicant's remarks on restriction requirement, Applicant admits that "each of the claims, as presently recited, require a pharmaceutical composition containing a nitrate and a biological equivalent of L-arginine" and that "citrulline is a biological equivalent of L-arginine".

4. Claims 1-5 and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chwalisz et al. (PGPub 2001/0056068 A1).

Chwalisz (in paragraph 91) teaches a composition comprising a nitric oxide donor (defined in paragraph 90 as including isosorbide mononitrate or isosorbide dinitrate) and a nitric oxide substrate (defined in paragraph 16 as L-arginine).

Chwalisz also teaches in paragraph 96, a pharmaceutical composition of either or both of citrulline or citrulline analogue (defined in paragraph 65 as including salts and L-isomers) and a nitric oxide donor.

As stated in instant specification and above, L-arginine is a biological equivalent of hydralazine and L-citrulline.

Any pharmaceutical composition inherently has a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. However, Chwalisz teaches that above composition can be administered in admixture with conventional excipients (in paragraph 112).

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schneider et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,358

Art Unit: 1609

Schneider et al. teaches a composition comprising a nitric oxide donor (isosorbide dinitrate) and nitric oxide substrate (L-arginine or a salt thereof) in claims 2-3, and 14 a composition comprising a salt of L-arginine and a nitrate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3-4 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohn (US Pat 4,868,179) in view of De Lucchi et al. (United States Patent 4,713,466).

Instant claims are directed to a composition comprising a biological equivalent of L-arginine and a nitrate (specifically isosorbide 5 mononitrate).

Cohn teaches a composition comprising hydralazine (a biological equivalent of Larginine) and isosorbide dinitrate (a nitrate).

Application/Control Number: 10/763,358

Art Unit: 1609

Cohn does not teach isosorbide mononitrate.

De Lucchi et al. (Background paragraph 2) teaches isosorbide 5 mononitrate is a metabolite of isosorbide dinitrate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to combine De Lucchi et al. to Cohn to replace the dinitrate in Cohn with its mononitrate metabolite and to replace L-arginine with its biological equivalent, citrulline.

One would have been motivated to make the above changes with the expectation of isosorbide dinitrate (ISDN) and isosorbide-5-mononitrate exhibiting an analogous pharmacological action. However, isosorbide-5-mononitrate has the following advantages:

- (a) In the metabolic process it provides only one mole of nitric acid instead of two.
- (b) In contrast to ISDN which metabolises into its two mononitrate isomers, it consists of a single active substance rather than three substances, the concentration ratios and thus the activities of which vary mutually with time.
- (c) It undergoes much slower metabolism and therefore exhibits a more lasting action.
- (d) It becomes distributed not only within the plasma but also throughout a much wider extent which can be approximated to that of the total body water.

Art Unit: 1609

One would have been motivated to make the above changes with the expectation of citrulline (the biological equivalent of L-arginine) and L-arginine exhibiting an analogous pharmacological action.

Conclusion

 References that are pertinent to current application but not relied upon for rejection:

Cooper, (US Pat. 4,557,934 A)

2. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chris E. Simmons whose telephone number is (571) 272-9065. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecelia Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-1600. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chris Simmons/CES

JANET L. ANDRES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER